

iittp.//www.natsca.org

The Biology Curator

Title: Regional Museums Task Force - BCG Response

Author(s): Not Listed.

Source: Not Listed. (2001). Regional Museums Task Force - BCG Response. The Biology Curator, Issue

20, 4.

URL: http://www.natsca.org/article/847

NatSCA supports open access publication as part of its mission is to promote and support natural science collections. NatSCA uses the Creative Commons Attribution License (CCAL) http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/ for all works we publish. Under CCAL authors retain ownership of the copyright for their article, but authors allow anyone to download, reuse, reprint, modify, distribute, and/or copy articles in NatSCA publications, so long as the original authors and source are cited.

resources mean greater, and more focused efforts in the areas where we do act. Higher quality products mean greater impact on outside bodies.

A single body is easier to deal with than a number of smaller bodies, which is crucial when we are trying to get people to pay attention to us such as government bodies and SPNHC. Furthermore, it is also more likely to attract new members, including influential individuals who may be able to help us achieve our aims more effectively. It may also draw in members from abroad who might not join any of a selection of smaller groups. A bigger and better run group is potentially more attractive and so becomes yet bigger and more influential. United we stand, divided we fall.

There are potential drawbacks, as various people have pointed out. The key issue is a perceived loss of identity of individual groups and their aims and a reduction in their voice and influence. None of the aims and purposes of the individual groups are in anyway incompatible with those of the single larger group. It is proposed that the aims, committee and constitution of any new group would be established in such a way that all of these aims would be explicitly included, promoted and mutually supported. Smaller groups can gain the support of a much larger membership. It was felt that other issues raised, such as affiliations to other groups and charitable status, are practical issues, to which there are satisfactory practical solutions. With the right people on committee, there need not be a reduction in any groups' voice or influence!

The question of which groups would be involved was addressed. There are three sister groups in the UK, the Biology Curator's Group, the Natural Sciences Conservation Group and the Geological Curators' Group. This proposal was raised, and is being discussed, by the first two of these groups. However, if the above potential benefits are actually realised by the merger of these two groups then it should be apparent that merging all three groups would be even more effective and beneficial to all concerned. There appears to be a feeling within GCG that they do not wish to be involved at the present time but they are invited to become involved to whatever extent they feel appropriate. Should

they still decide not to be involved, this should not stand in the way of the continued cooperation, collaboration and mutual support currently enjoyed by the three groups.

We would like to gauge the feeling of the memberships as a whole on this issue and therefore would be grateful if you would fill in and return the tear off form below (in the SAE provided). We want this to be a true representation of all views so please return

Regional Museums Task Force — BCG Response

The Regional Museums Task Force was set up by Resource to look at 'finding a unified vision for the future of museums and galleries and a clear sense of how museums should play a part in society beyond being simply repositories'.

Stuart Davies, Director of Strategy and Planning at Resource, was invited to speak at the recent AGM meeting in Oxford about the work of the Task Force and seek opinion of BCG members. He unfortunately received a rather rough reception and it was felt that this was a missed opportunity to inform what would be a document of fundamental importance to the future of museums.

A meeting was quickly arranged at the Natural History Museum to discuss a response document from BCG. (Apologies to those who were unaware of this meeting, it was at very short notice and only able to be advertised via emails and phone calls). A number of ideas and issues were discussed and a draft repsonse drawn up. This was circulated to those who attended the meeting, with a final version produced and submitted in light of comments received. The Task Force report is expected to appear sometime in September.

The following is the text of the BCG submission.